

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.usplo.gov

PAPER

08/22/2007

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/780,081	02/17/2004	Yoshio Macda	00535P0061US	9507	
32116 7590 08/22/2007 WOOD, PHILLIPS, KATZ, CLARK & MORTIMER 500 W. MADISON STREET			EXAM	EXAMINER	
			GORDON	GORDON, BRIAN R	
SUITE 3800 CHICAGO, IL	60661		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			1743		
	·		MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)		
	Office Action O	10/780,081	MAEDA ET AL.		
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit		
		Brian R. Gordon	1743		
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication app or Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address		
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any					
Status					
2a) <u></u> 3) <u></u>	 Responsive to communication(s) filed on 8-15-07. This action is FINAL. 2b) ☐ This action is non-final. Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. 				
	on of Claims				
5)	Claim(s) 1-6 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw Claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) 1-6 is/are rejected. Claim(s) is/are objected to. Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or on Papers The specification is objected to by the Examiner. The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accept accept accept and a complete accept accept and a complete accept accept and accept accept accept accept accept and accept	election requirement. pted or b) objected to by the Examing(s) be held in abeyance. See on is required if the drawing(s) is objected. Note the attached Office A	37 CFR 1.85(a). ected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). Action or form PTO-152.		
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.					
2)	of References Cited (PTO-892) of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) ation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summary (F Paper No(s)/Mail Date 5) Notice of Informal Pat 6) Other:	e		

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Interpretations

1. It should be noted that applicant's claims are directed to an apparatus for transferring a microplate. However the claims make references to elements that are not positively claimed as elements of the invention such as dispenser nozzles and microplate. As such, it should be noted references to such elements are not considered limiting of the transferring apparatus. Claim 2 is directed to the nozzles, as such the claim is not further limiting of the transferring apparatus. In terms of lengthwise and crosswise, it is assumed applicant is referencing movement in two dimensions within a horizontal plane (X,Y).

Drawings

2. The drawings are objected to under 37 CFR 1.83(a). The drawings must show every feature of the invention specified in the claims. Therefore, the guide members (rail receivers) must be shown or the feature(s) canceled from the claim(s). No new matter should be entered.

Corrected drawing sheets in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121(d) are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. Any amended replacement drawing sheet should include all of the figures appearing on the immediate prior version of the sheet, even if only one figure is being amended. The figure or figure number of an amended drawing should not be labeled as "amended." If a drawing figure is to be canceled, the appropriate figure must be removed from the replacement sheet, and where necessary, the remaining figures must be renumbered and appropriate

Art Unit: 1743

changes made to the brief description of the several views of the drawings for consistency. Additional replacement sheets may be necessary to show the renumbering of the remaining figures. Each drawing sheet submitted after the filing date of an application must be labeled in the top margin as either "Replacement Sheet" or "New Sheet" pursuant to 37 CFR 1.121(d). If the changes are not accepted by the examiner, the applicant will be notified and informed of any required corrective action in the next Office action. The objection to the drawings will not be held in abeyance.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

- 3. The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:
 - The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.
- 4. Claims1-6 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, because the specification, while being enabling for an assembly for transferring including a collection of elements including a lower plate 3, does not reasonably provide enablement for the device functioning without such lower plate. The specification does not enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make the invention commensurate in scope with these claims. There is no description provided of how such a device will function without the lower plate being present therein.
- 5. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Art Unit: 1743

6. Claims 1-6 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Applicant employs means plus function language in the claims, however the means are not specified/defined in the specification so as to allow one to determine what element(s) are considered to define each of the recited respective means (transferring, shifting, moving, claimed.

It is further unclear what element(s) corresponds to the claimed elastic member. For the purpose of examination it is assumed to reference spring 10, 13. The language/terms employed within the claims are not consistent with that of the specification.

While applicants paragraph [0014] defines a guide mechanism defined by a collection of elements, it is unclear what corresponds applicants, "one or more guide members". It should be noted the slide rails are attached to the unclaimed lower plate.

It appears as if the means for moving is described in paragraph [0019], however it is unclear what element(s) defines such means.

As to the means for locking, the text implies that the support plate can be locked and moved simultaneously. How can a single plate be locked in a position but yet move.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

7. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

Application/Control Number: 10/780,081

Art Unit: 1743

(e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

Page 5

8. Claims 1-2 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated De Beukeleer et al, US 6,868,875

De Beukeleer et al. disclose the invention as claimed as illustrated in Figure 4 and described therein (for example at column 6, lines 1-19).

Conclusion

9. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. Wulf, Juergen et al; Davies; Douglas et al.; Werner Rene Irene et al.; Sun; Xiao-Dong; and Pfost; Dale R. et al. disclose moveable supports within transferring devices.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Brian R. Gordon whose telephone number is 571-272-1258. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F, 1st Fri. Off.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Jill Warden can be reached on 571-272-1267. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 10/780.081

Art Unit: 1743

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

> Brian R Gordon Primary Examiner

Page 6

brg

BRIAN R. GORDON PRIMARY EXAMINER